

TRO CONSULTATION E2176

This appendix contains the main points from the contacts received during the consultation process.

The Executive Member has one of three possible courses of action, that is to:-

Over rule the objection;

Withdraw the proposal the objection refers to;

Modify the proposals by reducing its effect.

	Post code or Contact Method	Restriction & Location	Object Or Approve	Comments Received	Responses to the comments received
1	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I object to the proposals to widen the access road to Southbourne Gardens and the junction itself. This is a very busy junction with pedestrians and cars.	The existing narrow junction is masked by parked vehicles as they currently park in close proximity to the junction. The proposals are considered excessive.
2	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I am concerned to the impact to loss of parking spaces this would have on residents in the Claremont Road area. It's virtually impossible to find a space in the evenings. The concern regarding the decrease in parking spaces gives rise to another important consideration, safety. The removal of spaces could increase vehicle speeds. The proposals do not match those proposed during the planning stage.	These lengths could be reduced which could improve visibility for drivers of approaching vehicles and reduce the number of parking spaces causing less displacement.
3	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	The proposed access is totally unsuited to this site. The development will bring 10 additional properties and the proposed charges to the area including these proposals will result in a net loss of 13 spaces. I urge you to contemplate this against a gated community.	Ditto
4	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	There is no off street parking at this address, frequently the only parking available is several hundred yards away which causes	Ditto

				friction between residents. These proposals will only increase congestion in the surrounding area. I object and suggest that a new scheme is proposed.	
5	Non Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	As a regular visitor to Bath I write to formally object to the proposals on the basis you are not considering the motorists who park and walking into the city.	Ditto
6	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I object to this number of parking spaces being lost in the planning. It was repeated many times that 3 vehicles each side of the junction would need to be removed. These proposals represent more.	Ditto
7	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	This area is already highly congested with parked vehicles.	Ditto
8	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	It has been a consistent part of the decision making process that there will be no more than 6 parking spaces lost by the proposed TRO. This represents more. I believe restrictions should be in other locations to improve safety.	Ditto
9	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I believe that the proposed measures are excessive and unfairly penalise local residents because they will result in the loss of parking spaces where very limited parking already exists.	Ditto
10	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I am objecting to the removal of the street parking. I park there every day if there is space. If you remove parking it would force cars to park down narrower lanes and tracks that are already over crowded.	Ditto
11	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	The loss of parking spaces will have a negative impact on the area. It appears that the builder is not fully aware of the	Ditto

				requirements that have to be fulfilled before building can commence.	
12	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I am writing to express my objection. My concern is that the displacement of vehicles resulting from this restriction. The proposals are too excessive.	Ditto
13	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	The loss of parking should be minimised while still providing adequate vision for entering/exiting Beaufort Villas. If implemented it removes too many vehicles yet allows parking on the east side of Claremont as well as west side as they do at present, meaning poorer vision and insufficient space for buses who use the road.	Ditto
14	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	We wish to register our objection to the proposed removal of on street parking. It is becoming increasingly difficult to park near our home. The removal of these spaces will exacerbate this problem. We are seeing more parking and an increase in number of vehicles speeding.	Ditto
15	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I am writing to object about the proposed loss of parking. We find it difficult to find a space now on our road.	Ditto
16	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	We would like to note our object for the proposed removal based on the grounds of an unacceptable loss of on street parking for local residents of which there is already a shortage.	Ditto
17	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	Repeats previous points.	Ditto
18	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I object to this proposal and hope it will be changed to something better suited to the	Ditto

				safety and convenience of the locals.	
19	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I should like to register my objections to the use of the very narrow lane which is proposed as access to the planned development. The lane is totally unsuitable for this development removal of parking. The loss of parking is intolerable.	Ditto
20	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I would like to object to your proposal to limit parking spaces. It is difficult to find a space in the area in the evenings. Abuse and conflict are already occurring; your proposals will make this worse.	Ditto
21	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I strongly object to the ideas you have presented. The extraordinary permission to the developer to build is bad enough without being compounded by wildly unworkable traffic regulations.	Ditto
22	Local Resident	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I write to object to the proposed TRO. There is a chronic shortage of parking in the area especially in the evening. There is also a greater potential for accidents.	Ditto
23	Local Group	Southbourne Gardens	Object	I am writing to object to the proposals for the following reasons: 1) The removal of parking spaces from Claremont Road will create difficulty for residents especially at nights. 2) The double yellow lines outside The Rectory for the Parish – visitors rely on being able to park. 3) Not aware of accidents 4) The developer may not be able to develop the entrance as it relies on knocking The Rectory wall down.	Ditto